

1. The first group of respondents (Group 1) consisted of 100 individuals who were randomly selected from the general population. They were surveyed via telephone interviews.

2. The second group (Group 2) consisted of 150 individuals who were recruited from various community centers and local businesses. They were surveyed via face-to-face interviews.

3. The third group (Group 3) consisted of 200 individuals who were recruited from a local university. They were surveyed via online surveys.

4. The fourth group (Group 4) consisted of 120 individuals who were recruited from a local hospital. They were surveyed via face-to-face interviews.

5. The fifth group (Group 5) consisted of 80 individuals who were recruited from a local church. They were surveyed via face-to-face interviews.

6. The sixth group (Group 6) consisted of 60 individuals who were recruited from a local library. They were surveyed via face-to-face interviews.

7. The seventh group (Group 7) consisted of 40 individuals who were recruited from a local gym. They were surveyed via face-to-face interviews.

8. The eighth group (Group 8) consisted of 20 individuals who were recruited from a local coffee shop. They were surveyed via face-to-face interviews.

9. The ninth group (Group 9) consisted of 10 individuals who were recruited from a local park. They were surveyed via face-to-face interviews.

10. The tenth group (Group 10) consisted of 5 individuals who were recruited from a local restaurant. They were surveyed via face-to-face interviews.

Iraj A. Mohandesi

2834

INTERFERENCE SEARCHED			
Class	Subclass	Date	Examiner
290	55	3/9/2005	IM
290	43	3/9/2005	IM
290	1r	3/9/2005	IM

SEARCH NOTES (INCLUDING SEARCH STRATEGY)		
	DATE	EXMR
consulting Primary : Nick Ponomarenko	3/9/2005	IM
i		